



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/481,572	01/11/2000	JACQUELINE J. SHAN	P8061-9013	5800
6449 75	7590 08/13/2004		EXAMINER	
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			MELLER, MICHAEL V	
1425 K STREE SUITE 800	T, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1654	

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	
4	
0	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/481,572	SHAN ET AL.					
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
• •	Michael V. Meller	1654					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Fe	bruary 2004.						
<i>;</i> —	action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1,8,10,23,26 and 28-44</u> is/are pending	in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	,						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	antriotian and/or alactian requirer	mont					
8) Claim(s) <u>1, 8, 10, 23, 26, 28-44</u> are subject to r	estriction and/or election requires	nent.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Informal P6) ☐ Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					
. spor (1970): 1100	,						

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

The finality of the previous office action is withdrawn and the following office action is presented. The finality of the previous office action is withdrawn since the following election requirement is presented.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 8, 10, 23, 26, 28-38, drawn to a method of treating a health disorder, classified in class 435, subclass various.
- II. Claims 39-43, drawn to a method of inhibiting T-type calcium channel current in cells, classified in class 514, subclass various.
- III. Claim 44, drawn to a method for treating a health disorder in which T-type calcium current is implicated, classified in class 424, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I–III are not related to each other since they are treating different things. Invention I treats specific diseases while Invention II inhibits T-type calcium current in cells. Invention III is treating a health disorder in which T-type calcium channel

Art Unit: 1654

is implicated. Thus, Invention I treats one thing, Invention II inhibits another, and Invention III treats and implicates two things.

Thus, the methods are materially distinct from each other.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the many different health disorders claimed in claims 1, 40, 44. Applicant is required to pick a specific health disorder for examination and if picking a neurodegenerative disease, specify which one, i.e. Alzheimer's, Parkinson's.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 39 and 44 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Art Unit: 1654

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 571-272-0967. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday: 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654

MVM